Coffee Quality Analysis Using Power Bi

About Coffee Quality Institute(CQI):

In the realm of coffee production, quality is paramount. The Coffee Quality Institute (CQI), a non-profit organization dedicated to enhancing the quality and value of coffee globally. It has provided a comprehensive dataset that includes sensory evaluations, defect counts, processing methods, and origin information. This project aims to leverage Power BI to analyze this rich coffee quality data and uncover insights about the factors that contribute to coffee quality.

**Objectives:**

The primary goals of this project are:

1. To identify the key determinants of coffee quality as evaluated through sensory attributes such as aroma, flavor, and acidity.
2. To explore the correlation between processing methods, origin regions, and coffee quality scores.
3. To identify trends or patterns in defect occurrences and their impact on overall coffee quality.
4. To analyze how different variables interact to influence the Total Cup Points, an overall measure of coffee quality.

**Data Overview of Coffee Quality Data from CQI:**

The Coffee Quality Data from CQI includes various features such as:

* **Sensory Evaluations**: Aroma, Flavor, Aftertaste, Acidity, Body, Balance, Uniformity, Clean Cup, Sweetness.
* **Defects**: Category One (visual defects) and Category Two (taste defects).
* **Processing Methods**: Washed/Wet, Natural/Dry, Pulped Natural/Honey, etc.
* **Origin Information**: Country of origin, harvest year, and coffee variety.

**Global Coffee Quality Analysis: Evaluating Coffee Origins, Attributes, and Quality Scores:**

Discover a deep dive into global coffee quality, exploring origins, attributes, and quality scores. This analysis sheds light on what makes your coffee experience exceptional around the world!

Processing Methods Distribution Across Countries:

The first graph in our Power BI dashboard illustrates the distribution of different coffee processing methods across countries. The dominant processing method is “Washed/Wet,” accounting for 62.14%, followed by “Natural/Dry” at 22.33%, and “Pulped Natural/Honey” at 12.14%.

This distribution highlights the prevalent techniques used in coffee processing, which can significantly influence the flavor profile and quality of the coffee. Understanding the geographical preferences for processing methods helps in identifying regional specialties and potential areas for quality improvement.

Grading Date Trend:

The trend of samples graded over time reveals fluctuations in the number of coffee samples evaluated each month. Notably, the peak grading month is November, with 51 samples graded, followed by January with 31 samples, and April with 24 samples. This trend indicates a seasonality in coffee production and grading activities, which could be linked to harvest cycles and market demands.

Grading Completion Status:

Out of the total samples graded, all 206 have completed the grading process. This status update ensures that the evaluation process is on track and helps in identifying any bottlenecks in the grading pipeline.

Category One and Two Defects Count:

Defects play a crucial role in determining the overall coffee quality. The dashboard shows 27 instances of Category One defects and 467 instances of Category Two defects. Category One defects are more severe and visually identifiable, whereas Category Two defects are subtle and detected through tasting.

Category One Defects are primary defects that can be perceived through visual inspection of the coffee beans. These defects include Black beans, sour beans, insect-damaged beans, fungus-damaged beans, etc.

Category Two defects are secondary defects that are more subtle and can only be detected through tasting. These defects include Over-fermentation, staleness, rancidness, chemical taste, etc.

Distribution of Defects by Country:

In the Coffee Quality Data the distribution of Category One and Two defects across countries reveals that Brazil, Nicaragua, and Ethiopia have higher counts of defects compared to other regions. This information is vital for stakeholders to address quality control issues and implement corrective measures in specific regions.

Impact of Defects on Total Cup Points:

An analysis of how defects impact the Total Cup Points across different processing methods shows that certain methods like “Double Anaerobic Washed” and “Honey, Mossto” have higher average scores despite the presence of defects. This suggests that some processing methods might mitigate the negative effects of defects better than others.

Heatmap : Processing Method vs. Total Cup Points & Sensory Attributes:

A heatmap comparing processing methods against sensory attributes and Total Cup Points highlights the superior performance of “Double Anaerobic Washed” and “Honey, Mossto” methods. These methods consistently achieve higher scores across all sensory attributes, indicating their effectiveness in enhancing coffee quality.

Variety Distribution by Country of Origin:

The variety distribution chart shows the diversity of coffee varieties across different countries. Colombia, Guatemala, and Brazil have a wide range of coffee varieties, which contributes to the rich flavor profiles and high-quality scores from these regions.

Production of Coffee Beans by Country of Origin:

The production volume of coffee beans by country highlights Guatemala, Taiwan, and Colombia as the top producers. This metric provides insights into the scale of coffee production and helps in understanding the supply dynamics in the global coffee market.

Comparison of Average Quality Score per Kg by Country of Origin:

A comparison of the average quality score per kilogram of coffee by country reveals that Madagascar and Thailand have the highest average scores, indicating superior coffee quality from these regions. This finding aligns with the sensory evaluation results, confirming the high standards of coffee produced in these countries.

**Key Findings and Insights from Coffee Quality Data**

Processing Methods and Quality:

The “Double Anaerobic Washed” and “Honey, Mossto” processing methods consistently produce high-quality coffee, as evidenced by their high scores across all sensory attributes. These methods should be explored further and potentially adopted in other regions to enhance coffee quality.

Defects Impact:

While defects negatively impact coffee quality, certain processing methods can mitigate these effects. Stakeholders should focus on improving processing techniques to reduce the occurrence of defects and enhance overall quality.

Regional Quality Trends:

Madagascar and Thailand stand out for their high average quality scores, suggesting that these regions have optimized their production and processing methods. Other countries can learn from their practices to improve their coffee quality.

Seasonality in Grading:

The seasonal trends in grading highlight the importance of timing in the coffee production and evaluation process. Aligning production cycles with peak grading periods can ensure timely evaluation and market readiness.

Diversity in Coffee Varieties:

The wide range of coffee varieties in Colombia, Guatemala, and Brazil contributes to their high-quality scores. Promoting varietal diversity in other regions can enhance flavor profiles and meet diverse consumer preferences.

**Suggestions for Future Research:**

1. **Processing Method Optimization**: Further research into the specific steps and conditions of high-performing processing methods like “Double Anaerobic Washed” can provide detailed guidelines for producers looking to adopt these techniques.
2. **Defect Reduction Strategies**: Investigating the root causes of defects and developing targeted interventions can help reduce the incidence of defects and improve overall coffee quality.
3. **Consumer Preferences**: Understanding consumer preferences for different sensory attributes can help producers tailor their products to meet market demands, thereby increasing satisfaction and market share.
4. **Sustainability Practices**: Exploring the relationship between sustainable farming practices and coffee quality can highlight the benefits of environmentally friendly methods, encouraging wider adoption.

**Conclusion:**

This project provides a comprehensive analysis of coffee quality data using Power BI, uncovering valuable insights into the factors that influence coffee quality. By focusing on processing methods, defect impacts, and regional trends, stakeholders can make informed decisions to enhance the quality and value of coffee worldwide. The findings and suggestions presented here offer a roadmap for future research and quality improvement initiatives in the coffee industry.